

Performance Analysis for Target Devices with the OpenMP Tools Interface

Tim Cramer, Robert Dietrich, Christian Terboven, Matthias S. Müller, Wolfgang E. Nagel IT Center, RWTH Aachen University Technische Universität Dresden

HIPS Workshop, Hyderabad, 25.05.2015

Motivation

2



Requirement for large compute capabilities

- → Wide use and acceptance of new architectures
- → New user friendly programming paradigms
 - (e.g., OpenMP 4.0, OpenACC)
- → But: adequate tools support is important as well



Tools support for OpenMP

- OpenMP Architecture Review Board (ARB) published a technical report describing the OpenMP Tools (OMPT) interface to extend the standard
- → Support only for OpenMP 3.1

Agenda



Introduction

- → The OpenMP Tools Interface (OMPT)
- → The OpenMP 4.0 Target Constructs

Contributions

- → Extension of OMPT for Target Constructs
- → Runtime Implementation (LLVM/Intel OpenMP)
- → Tool Integration (Measurements with Score-P, Visualization with Vampir)
- → Performance Evaluation

Conclusion

3

OpenMP Tools Interface (OMPT)



OMPT Features

- \rightarrow Support for asynchronous sampling
- \rightarrow Blame shifting techniques
- → Callbacks definitions for instrumentation-based monitoring of runtime events
- → Standardized interface

OMPT Design Objectives

- Should provide sufficient information about the program and the OpenMP runtime system
- → Low overhead API
- \rightarrow Low development burden for the runtime and tool developer
- → OpenMP runtime

Δ

- \rightarrow maintains information about the state of each thread
- →provides API calls to interrogate the runtime

OMPT: Benefits



Benefits

5

- → Event-based performance analysis with low overhead
- → Standardized interface (OMPT only for 3.1 at the moment)
- → Runtime information (OMPT) vs. source-to-source instrumentation (OPARI2)
 - →OPARI2
 - can deliver exact user code mapping
 - independent of OpenMP implementation
 - recompiling necessary
 - Low detail level of information, e.g.
 - →data transfer size
 - →mapping of variable or array
 - no chance for standardization
 - →OMPT is vice versa
 - →Overhead of both is similar [1]

Reference

[1] Daniel Lorenz, Robert Dietrich, Ronny Tschüter, and Felix Wolf. A comparison between OPARI2 and the OpenMP tools interface in the context of Score-P. In *Proc. of the* 10th International Workshop on OpenMP (IWOMP), Salvador, Brazil, September 2014, volume 8766 of *LNCS*, pages 161–172. Springer International Publishing, September 2014.



```
{
```

}

6

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i){
   y[i] = a*x[i] + y[i];
}
```

```
processDataOnHost(y);
```

```
#pragma omp parallel for
for (int i = 0; i < n; ++i) {
   y[i] = b*x[i] + y[i];
}</pre>
```



Host Device			
main(){			
saxpy();			
processDataOnHost();			
saxpy();			
}			

Target Device	









8





Performance Analysis for Target Devices with the OpenMP Tools Interface Tim Cramer | IT Center der RWTH Aachen University

9







Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
		after	before



Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
	after	before	
Identification of constructs			



Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
		after	before
Identification of constructs	target		
	target data		
	target update		



Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
		after	before
Identification of constructs	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed
	target data		
	target update		



Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
		after	before
Identification of constructs	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed
	target data	a task encounters a target data construct	new data environment is created
	target update		



Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
		after	before
Identification of constructs	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed
	target data	a task encounters a target data construct	new data environment is created
	target update	a task encounters a target update construct	data consistency is established



Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
		after	before
	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed
Identification of constructs	target data	a task encounters a target data construct	new data environment is created
	target update	a task encounters a target update construct	data consistency is established
Data transfer host <-> device			



Description	Event (begin)) Invocation	
		after	before
	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed
Identification of constructs	target data	a task encounters a target data construct	new data environment is created
	target update	a task encounters a target update construct	data consistency is established
Data transfer host <-> device	data map	-	a variable is mapped (event for each variable)



Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	cation	
		after	before	
	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed	
Identification of constructs	target data	a task encounters a target data construct	new data environment is created	
	target update	a task encounters a target update construct	data consistency is established	
Data transfer host <-> device	data map	-	a variable is mapped (event for each variable)	
Kernel invocation				



Relevant information for performance analysis

Description	Event (begin)	Invocation	
		after	before
Identification of constructs	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed
	target data	a task encounters a target data construct	new data environment is created
	target update	a task encounters a target update construct	data consistency is established
Data transfer host <-> device	data map	-	a variable is mapped (event for each variable)
Kernel invocation	target invoke (in relation to an enclosing target region)		

Performance Analysis for Target Devices with the OpenMP Tools Interface Tim Cramer | IT Center der RWTH Aachen University

20



Relevant information for performance analysis

Description	Event (begin)	Invocation		
		after	before	
Identification of constructs	target	a task encounters a target construct	region is executed	
	target data	a task encounters a target data construct	new data environment is created	
	target update	a task encounters a target update construct	data consistency is established	
Data transfer host <-> device	data map	-	a variable is mapped (event for each variable)	
Kernel invocation	target invoke (in relation to an enclosing target region)	the target* begin event and after all variables are mapped	target function is invoked on a device	



Signatures

 \rightarrow Only two different signature types needed for begin events

Signature	Events	Parameter
new target callback	target, target data, target update, target invoke	task id, target id, device id, target function
new data map	data map	task id, target id, map id, device id, sync type, map type, data size

- → Possible additional information for
 - →target* events: source code line
 - (In general: Missing source code information is a big disadvantage of
 - OMPT compared to source-to-source instrumentation)
 - \rightarrow data map: variable name
- → OpenMP 4.1: Each target* region will be an implicit task

→target id will be obsolete

OMPT Inquiry Functions (1/2)



Prerequisite

→ calling of OpenMP runtime library routine is unsafe (e.g.,

```
omp_get_theread_num()) → OMPT inquiry functions
```

- \rightarrow all device inquiry functions are only allowed to be called in the extend of a
 - →target data region
 - \rightarrow target update region
 - \rightarrow in the specified target event callbacks
- \rightarrow undefined behavior otherwise

OMPT Inquiry Functions (2/2)



Function	Description
<pre>OMPT_API ompt_target_device_id_t ompt_get_target_device_id();</pre>	Returns the ID of the active target device.
<pre>OMPT_API ompt_target_id_t ompt_get_target_id();</pre>	Returns the ID of the current target region.
<pre>OMPT_API ompt_target_device_time_t ompt_get_target_device_time (omp_target_device_id_t id);</pre>	Returns the current time stamp on the target device with ID id. (Can be used to synchronize time stamps on the target device with time stamps on the host.)
<pre>OMPT_API void ompt_target_map (void* dst, void* src, ompt_target_device_id_t id, ompt_data_map_t map_type, ompt_target_sync_t sync_type, ompt_data_size_t bytes);</pre>	Maps the specified number of bytes between host and target device according to the map type. (Can be used to map collected performance data / OMPT events from the device to the host.)

OMPT: Prototype Implementation



Extension of the Intel-/LLVM-based OpenMP implementation

- → OMPT 3.1 is part of the LLVM OpenMP runtime already [1]
- → OMPT 4.0 target support available as separated branch / fork [2]
- Intel uses additional offload library (OpenMP library is not called for target* directives)
- → All target device events / signatures are implemented
- → Only the inquiry functions ompt_get_device_time() and
 ompt_target_data_map() are missing (work in progress)

Event Handling

- → All target events: host-side only invocation
- → Analysis of "normal" OMPT events on a device
 - → Callback registration on device possible (e.g., with preloading)
 - Tools developer are responsible for event handling (device and/or host)
- [1] <u>http://openmp.llvm.org</u>
- [2] <u>https://github.com/OpenMPToolsInterface</u>

OMPT: Tool Integration (1/3)



Using the OMPT extension in Score-P





OMPT: Tool Integration (3/3)



OMPT + Score-P Overhead

→ Synthetic double buffering benchmark (maps 4 GB in 200 MB chunks)

	Runtime [s]	Overhead
Uninstrumented	28.08	-
Score-P (host-sided events only)	28.50	1.5 %
Score-P (host-sided and devices sided events)	32.23	12.9 %

- \rightarrow Overhead for host- and device-sided events is basically the data transfer
 - \rightarrow Only little influence on the application runtime itself
- → Used test system
 - →Host: 2-socket Intel Sandybridge (Xeon E5-2650) CPUs
 - → Target device: Intel Xeon Phi 5110P coprocessors

Summary & Conclusion



Extension of OMPT

- → All OpenMP 4.x features should be covered in OMPT
- Proposal for target directives includes 10 new events, 4 signatures and 4 inquiry functions
- Prototype reference implementation in LLVM-based OpenMP runtime available
- → Prototype support in Score-P measurement infrastructure available
- → Source code information is missing in OMPT
- → High detail level of information (size of mapped variables)
- → Low overhead for proposed new events

Outlook

29

Extend the OpenMP technical report to get proposed extension into the standard



Thank you for your attention.

Questions?