

SWARM: A Parallel Programming Framework for Multicore Processors

College of Computing

Computational Science and Engineering

David A. Bader, Varun N. Kanade and Kamesh Madduri



Our Contributions

- SWARM: SoftWare and Algorithms for Running on Multicore, a portable opensource parallel framework for multicore systems
 - <u>http://multicore-swarm.sourceforge.net/</u>
- A library of fundamental parallel primitives: prefix-sums, list ranking, sorting and selection, symmetry breaking etc.
- Computational model for analyzing algorithms on multicore systems





tio eggellege Computiting



Tech

Computing

Talk Outline

- Introduction
- Model for algorithm design on multicore systems
 - Case studies
 - Performance
- SWARM
 - Programming framework
 - Algorithm design
 - Performance



Multicore Computing



High-performance multicore programming requirements

- Exploit concurrency at the algorithmic level, and design efficient parallel algorithms
- Judiciously utilize memory bandwidth
- minimize inter-processor communication, synchronization

.

Multicore Computing

Model for multicore algorithm design SWARM: A Parallel Programming Framework

- High-performance multicore programming requirements
 - Exploit concurrency at the algorithmic level, and design efficient parallel algorithms
 - Judiciously utilize memory bandwidth
 - minimize inter-processor communication, synchronization





Multicore Algorithm Design

- Architectural model: *p* homogeneous processing cores, dedicated L_1 cache, shared L_2 cache
- Memory Bandwidth of

 L_1 cache > L_2 cache > main memory



COMAGA Computing

Tech



Complexity Model

Algorithm complexity is given by

- Computational Complexity: $T_c(n,p) = \max_i T_{ci}(n,p), i = 1,..., p$
 - RAM model of computation, complexity as a function of input size
- Memory accesses: $T_M(n) = B(n)\sigma^{-1}$
 - B: no. of blocks transferred from main memory to shared L2 cache, σ : bandwidth parameter
 - Aggarwal-Vitter I/O model of computation
- Synchronization: $T_s(n) = S(n)L$
 - S(n): complexity of synchronization operations (barriers, locks), L: synchronization overhead parameter



Multicore Algorithm Design

- Complexity is given by the tuple $\langle T_{C,}T_{M,}T_{S} \rangle$
- Cache-aware approaches
 - Data layout optimizations, blocking/tiling, padding
 - Merge Sort case-study
- Cache-oblivious algorithms
- Minimize synchronization overhead
 - Lock-free algorithms, atomic operations
- All these paradigms considered in SWARM parallel primitives and library design



Test Platform

- Sun Fire T2000 (UltraSparc T1 Niagara processor)
 - 8 multithreaded cores
 - 4 threads per core
 - Shared 3MB on-chip L2 cache
 - 1.0GHz clock speed



Callegae

Computing

Georgia Tech





Tiling optimization benchmark: Performance on Sun Fire T2000









Computing

- Multicore programming framework and a collection of multicore-optimized libraries
- Portable, open-source
 - <u>http://multicore-swarm.sourceforge.net/</u>
 - Current: version 1.1
- Examples and efficient implementations of various parallel programming primitives
 - Prefix-sums, pointer-jumping, divide and conquer, pipelining, graph algorithms, symmetry breaking



SWARM

- POSIX-threads based framework
- Support for parallel primitives
 - data parallel, control, memory management
 - barrier, replicate, scan, broadcast
- Incremental approach to parallelizing applications
 - Minimal modifications to existing code



Typical SWARM Usage

```
• C code
```

```
int main (int argc, char **argv) {
        SWARM_Init(&argc, &argv);
        /* sequential code */
                                                     Identifyetigen putte-
        . . . .
                                                    in service will intrations
        . . . .
        $WARM_Ren((void *) fun1(a, b));
        /* more sequential code */
        fung(e,d);
        : : : :
        SWARM Finalize();
                                             Georgia
                                                      College of
                                                Tech
                                                      Computing
                                                                      13
```



Data parallelism: pardo directive

- pardo: Parallel do, implicitly partitions a loop among the cores without the need for coordinating.
- SWARM provides both block and cyclic partitioning options

```
/* pardo example: partitioning a
"for" loop among the cores */
pardo(i, start, end, incr) {
        A[i] = B[i] + C[i];
}
```



lech



Tech

Computing

Control

- SWARM control primitives restrict threads that can participate in a context.
 - // THREADS: total number of execution threads
 - // MYTHREAD: the rank of a thread, from 0 to

```
// THREADS-1
```

```
/* example: execute code on a specific thread */
on_thread (3) {
```

```
/* example: execute code on just one thread */
on_one_thread {
```



Memory management

- SWARM provides two directives
 - SWARM_ malloc: dynamically allocate a shared structure
 - SWARM_free: release shared memory back to the heap

```
/* example: allocate a shared array of size n */
A = (int *)SWARM_malloc(n*sizeof(int),TH);
/* example: free the array A */
SWARM_free(A);
```

Tech

Computing



Synchronization

- Barrier: SWARM_Barrier()
 - Two variants
- Locks
 - POSIX threads Mutex locks, user-level atomic locks





Other communication primitives

• Broadcast: supplies each processing core with the address of the shared buffer by replicating the memory address.

```
/* function signatures */
int SWARM_Bcast_i (int myval, THREADED);
int* SWARM_Bcast_ip (int* myval, THREADED);
char SWARM_Bcast_c (char myval, THREADED);
```

• Reduce: performs a reduction operation with a binary associative operator, such as addition, multiplication, maximum, minimum, bitwise-AND, and bitwise-OR

```
/* function signatures */
int SWARM_Reduce_i(int myval, reduce_t op,
THREADED);
double SWARM_Reduce_d(double myval, reduce_t op,
THREADED);
Georgia College of
```

Tech

Computing



SWARM: Merge Sort Performance, Sun Fire T2000





SWARM: List Ranking Performance, Sun Fire T2000





SWARM: List Ranking Performance, Intel dual-core Xeon 5150





Acknowledgment of Support

- National Science Foundation
 - CSR: A Framework for Optimizing Scientific Applications (06-14915)
 - CAREER: High-Performance Algorithms for Scientific Applications (06-11589; 00-93039)
 - ITR: Building the Tree of Life -- A National Resource for Phyloinformatics and Computational Phylogenetics (EF/BIO 03-31654)
 - ITR/AP: Reconstructing Complex Evolutionary Histories (01-21377)
 - DEB Comparative Chloroplast Genomics: Integrating Computational Methods, Molecular Evolution, and Phylogeny (01-20709)
 - ITR/AP(DEB): Computing Optimal Phylogenetic Trees under Genome Rearrangement Metrics (01-13095)
 - DBI: Acquisition of a High Performance Shared-Memory Computer for Computational Science and Engineering (04-20513).
- IBM PERCS / DARPA High Productivity Computing Systems (HPCS)
 DARPA Contract NBCH30390004
- IBM Shared University Research (SUR) Grant
- Sony-Toshiba-IBM (STI)
- Microsoft Research
- Sun Academic Excellence Grant







Conclusions

- SWARM: SoftWare and Algorithms for Running on Multicore, a portable open-source parallel framework for multicore systems
 - <u>http://multicore-swarm.sourceforge.net/</u>
- We present a complexity model for algorithm design on multicore systems
 - It is critical to optimize memory access patterns and synchronization on multicore systems
- Future work: more SWARM libraries and primitives

Computing